

## List of Figures

- Figure II.1: Map of the six counties that comprise the region.
- Figure II.2: Population by county – 1990 to 2006.
- Figure II.3: Cumulative population gain – 1990 to 2005.
- Figure II.4: Estimated and projected population – 1980 to 2025.
- Figure II.5: Housing densities in the North Central Region, 1940 to 2000.
- Figure II.6: Six-county regional projected population, by age cohorts – 2000 to 2030.
- Figure II.7: Land cover of 6-County Region.
- Figure II.8: Sale prices of agricultural lands continuing in agricultural use in the 6-county region – 1993 to 2006.
- Figure II.9: Sale prices of agricultural lands to be diverted to other uses in the 6-county region – 1993 to 2006.
- Figure II.10: Map of SCORP regions.
- Figure II.11: Table of statewide rates of participation in selected outdoor recreation activities, by age group.
- Figure II.12: Table of participation of Wisconsin urban and rural residents in selected recreation activities.
- Figure II.13: The average frequency of participation in selected recreation activities for Wisconsin adults, (days/year).
- Figure II.14: Table of a summary of desired experiences for popular recreation activities.
- Figure II.15: Segments of recreation participants by experience.
- Figure II.16: Supply of recreation facilities and properties in the 6-county region.
- Figure II.17: Average land-based recreation activity compatibility ratings.
- Figure II.18: Estimated user days/year in 2000 and 2030 based on the size and age structure of projected population.
- Figure II.19: Map of Ecological Landscapes of Wisconsin.
- Figure II.20: Estimated land cover of the Southeast Glacial Plain in the 1850s.
- Figure II.21: Land Cover of Southeast Glacial Plain, mid 1990s.
- Figure II.22: Natural communities of particular ecological importance in the Southeast Glacial Plain.
- Figure II.23: Primary and secondary study area boundaries.
- Figure II.24: Employment in Jefferson County, 2007.
- Figure II.25: Topography and elevation in the GHA Study Area.
- Figure II.26: Soil types in the GHA Study Area.
- Figure II.27: Land use in the GHA Study Area, mid 1990s.
- Figure II.28: Land ownership within the Study Area.
- Figure II.29: Land cover in the 1990s in the GHA Study Area.
- Figure II.30: Size of existing ownership parcels in the GHA Study Area.
- Figure II.31: Vegetation in the 1850s in the GHA Study Area.
- Figure II.32: Notable surface waters within the GHA Study Area.
- Figure II.33: Natural communities of high conservation significance occurring within the GHA Study Area.
- Figure II.34: Species of conservation significance known or likely to occur within the GHA Study Area.
- Figure II.35: Known occurrences in the Natural Heritage Inventory database of high quality native communities and Endangered, Threatened, and Special Concern species in the GHA Study Area.
- Figure II.36: Known occurrences in the State Historical Society database of archaeological sites in the GHA Study Area.
- Figure II.37: Prime agricultural soils within the GHA Study Area.
- Figure II.38: Paddling trails and access points in the GHA Study Area.
- Figure II.39: Campgrounds within the GHA Study Area.
- Figure II.40: Infrastructure developments within the GHA Study Area.
- Figure II.41: Land cover of the 11 State Wildlife Areas.
- Figure II.42: Current land ownership within State Wildlife Area project boundaries.
- Figure II.43: Conservation Opportunity Areas identified in the Wisconsin Wildlife Action Plan.

Figure III.1: Map of proposed Glacial Heritage Area plan.  
Figure III.2: Current and proposed recreational settings of places in the proposed Glacial Heritage Area.  
Figure III.3: Current and proposed sizes of places in the proposed Glacial Heritage Area.  
Figure III.4: Proposed expansion of Cold Spring Conservation Park.  
Figure III.5: Proposed expansion of Dorothy Carnes Conservation Park.  
Figure III.6: Proposed expansion of Garman Preserve.  
Figure III.7: Proposed expansion of Korth Conservation Park.  
Figure III.8: Proposed expansion of Lake Ripley Lake Management District Preserve.  
Figure III.9: Proposed Cushman Mill Conservation Park.  
Figure III.10: Proposed Holzhueter Farm Conservation Park.  
Figure III.11: Proposed Hope Lake Conservation Park.  
Figure III.12: Proposed North Shore Moraine Conservation Park.  
Figure III.13: Proposed Oakland Highlands Conservation Park.  
Figure III.14: Proposed Red Cedar Lake Park.  
Figure III.15: Proposed Scuppernong Valley Conservation Park.  
Figure III.16: Proposed network of Linking Trails.  
Figure III.17: Proposed waterbodies for small-scale recreation sites.  
Figure III.18: Proposed Allen Creek Conservation Area.  
Figure III.19: Proposed Lower Bark River Conservation Area.  
Figure III.20: Proposed Lower Koshkonong Creek Conservation Area.

Figure IV.1: Land cover within the proposed project boundaries of the conservation parks, river-based conservation areas, and wildlife areas.  
Figure IV.2: Extent of flooding in the region in June 2008.  
Figure IV.3: Estimated recreation participation in the GHA Study Area.  
Figure IV.4: Land sales in Jefferson County, 2003 to 2008